Federal Defenders OF NEW YORK, INC.

52 Duane Street-10th Floor, New York, NY 10007 Tel: (212) 417-8700 Fax: (212) 571-0392

David E. Patton Executive Director and Attorney-in-Chief Appeals Bureau
Barry D. Leiwant
Attorney-in-Charge

June 9, 2020

BY ECF

Honorable John F. Keenan United States District Judge Southern District of New York 500 Pearl Street New York, New York 10007

Re:

United States v. Tyriek Skyfield

No. 11-cr-912

Dear Judge Keenan:

I write in reference to the brief I filed today in support of Mr. Skyfield's petition under 28 U.S.C. § 2255. I neglected to include Exhibit B with the filing and thus I enclose it herein.

Respectfully submitted,

s/ Matthew B. Larsen
Assistant Federal Defender

Encl.

cc:

AUSA Christopher DiMase

EXHIBIT B

1	
	1
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
2	EASIERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, : 08-CR-559(CBA)
4	
5	:
6	-against- : United States Courthouse : Brooklyn, New York
7	: :
8	ANTHONY PICA, : Friday, August 20, 2010 : 10:30 a.m.
9	Defendant. :
10	X
11	TRANSCRIPT OF CRIMINAL CAUSE FOR SENTENCING
12	BEFORE THE HONORABLE CAROL BAGLEY AMON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
13	APPEARANCES:
14	For the Government: LORETTA E. LYNCH, ESQ.
15	United States Attorney Eastern District of New York 271 Cadman Plaza East
16	Brooklyn, New York 11201
17	BY: JOHN BURÉTTA, ESQ. Assistant United States Attorney
18	For the Defendant: LAW OFFICE OF MICHAEL ROSEN
19	Attorney for the Defendant - Anthony Pica
20	61 Broadway Suite 1105
21	New York, New York 10006 BY: MICHAEL ROSEN, ESQ.
22	
23	
24	
25	

	2
1	ALSO PRESENT:
2	UNITED CTATES DECORATION DEDARMENT
3	UNITED STATES PROBATION DEPARTMENT Eastern District of New York
4	75 Clinton Street Brooklyn, New York 11201 BY: ROBERTA HOULTON, U.S.P.O.
5	BY. ROBERTA HOULTON, U.S.P.U.
6	
7	Court Reporter: Anthony D. Frisolone, FAPR, RDR, CRR, CRI
8	Official Court Reporter Telephone: (718) 613-2487
9	Facsimile: (718) 613-2694 E-mail: Anthony_Frisolone@nyed.uscourts.gov
10	Proceedings recorded by computerized stenography. Transcript
11	produced by Computer-aided Transcription.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24 25	
۷۷	

	Sentencing 3
1	(In open court.)
2	COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise, the United States
3	District Court for the Eastern District of New York is now
4	in session, the Honorable Carol Bagley Amon is now
5	presiding.
6	(Honorable Carol Bagley Amon takes the bench.)
7	COURTROOM DEPUTY: Calling criminal cause for
8	sentencing in Docket No. 08-CR-559, United States of America
9	against Anthony Pica.
10	Counsel, please note your appearances for the
11	record.
12	MR. BURETTA: For the United States of America,
13	Assistant United States Attorney John Buretta.
14	Good morning, your Honor.
15	MS. HOULTON: Roberta Houlton, Probation. Good
16	morning, your Honor.
17	THE COURT: Good morning.
18	(Defendant enters the courtroom.)
19	THE COURT: All right.
20	Mr. Rosen, is there any legal reason why we
21	shouldn't proceed with sentencing?
22	MR. ROSEN: No, Judge.
23	THE COURT: Have you read the presentence report
24	and discussed it with your client?
25	MR. ROSEN: I have, your Honor.

	Sentencing 4
1	THE COURT: All right.
2	Mr. Pica, have you had the opportunity to
3	read your presentence report?
4	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did.
5	THE COURT: And have you had the opportunity to
6	discuss it with Mr. Rosen?
7	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did.
8	THE COURT: Are you satisfied to have him
9	represent you?
10	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am.
11	THE COURT: Okay.
12	Now, I have a sentencing memorandum from the
13	defendant. I don't have anything from the Government.
14	MR. BURETTA: That's correct, your Honor.
15	THE COURT: All right.
16	Do you want to be heard?
17	Let me ask you first, I'm sorry, I neglected
18	to ask you an important question.
19	Is there any challenge to the guideline
20	calculation here as being accurately calculated?
21	MR. ROSEN: It's accurately calculated. I thought
22	you were going to ask me about a Rule 32 objection. And if
23	you are to ask me, I don't have any Rule 32 objections.
24	I did file with Probation, your Honor, a
25	comment rather than an objection. I thought the probation

Sentencing

department should note, at least -- I filed that in June -- and what I did, Judge Amon, was I just indicated to Probation that, in my view, the Government had taken an inconsistent position at the trial of Mr. Pica. At the trial of Mr. Pica before your Honor in that this was his score, he planned this whole thing, he was the number one on the top.

And then, in the Antico trial, which your Honor also tried the Government took the position that after Mr. Antico, that Mr. Fish, and then Mr. Maniscalco and to Mr. Delutro and then Mr. Pica somehow came into the picture at that point.

I just did that, not as an objection, but just to ask them to consider in the offense portion of the PSR which I believe is Paragraphs 7 to 17 that that's what I thought should be indicated. It's not technically an objection under Rule 32, but I thought it was something I was required to do as Mr. Pica's lawyer; and I just cited the record before your Honor and in both cases, the Pica case and the Antico case, that's the only reaction.

THE COURT: What is the Government's position in terms of the hierarchy of defendants in the role that each plays in this unfortunate transaction.

MR. BURETTA: Your Honor, the robbery plan, obviously, the Government believes initiated with Anthony

Sentencing

n Mr. Maniscalco and Mr

Antico who provided that direction to Mr. Maniscalco and Mr. DaLutro. As of the day of the robbery itself, Mr. Pica was running things. That was the testimony of Mr. Maniscalco and also the testimony of all of the other witnesses who testified at Mr. Pica and Mr. Antico's trial. And, in fact, by the day of the robbery Mr. Maniscalco and Mr. Delutro decided to leave because they believed that there was too

As of that day Mr. Pica who is propelling the robbery plan forward and who is in charge of the people who were on the scene engaged in the robbery.

much law enforcement scrutiny in the area that day.

So, by the time in the hours before the robbery Mr. Pica's leading that robbery team, he's directing them as to proceed despite the law enforcement activity in the area.

In terms of -- if your Honor is asking in terms of guidelines analysis, I think that makes Mr. Pica correctly and defense counsel is not disagreeing that he has a leadership role as a result of that. There are certainly other people who played a leadership role and who are causing this robbery plan to be carried out.

THE COURT: There wasn't a leadership role assessed in the presentence report, was there?

MR. ROSEN: I think Mr. Buretta is correct but let me just check it.

Sentencing 7 1 MR. BURETTA: I think that's right, Judge. 2 think it wouldn't matter in light of the offense level 3 conduct which is a Level 43; but that's how we view where 4 this defendant fits into the overall plan to engage and we have no objection to the PSR. 5 6 THE COURT: And it is correct that Count Three 7 requires a sentence of not less than ten years. 8 MR. BURETTA: Correct, Judge. 9 MR. ROSEN: Yes, Judge. 10 THE COURT: Okay. 11 MR. ROSEN: Those are my comments on the PSR. And 12 if your Honor would permit me, most respectfully, I did 13 submit a sentencing memorandum to your Honor and served it 14 on the Government and I'd like to just at first refer to §3553(a). 15 16 Now, I understand, and painfully aware, that 17 a just and appropriate sentence has to reflect many of the 18 considerations in §3553(a) such as a just sentence and 19 general and specific deterrence, respect for the law; I am totally aware of that. I am also totally aware what your 20 21 Honor just mentioned was the mandatory ten-year sentence 22 under Count Three.

But, taking into consideration, Judge Amon, two of the factors under §3553(a), one of which being the nature and circumstances of the offense. And I add to what

23

24

Mr. Buretta I thought candidly conceded was the hierarchy, so to speak, but I did want to call to your Honor's attention, as I did in my memorandum, that the record reflects that Mr. Pica did not intend to shoot nor harm Antonelli. The Government witness, Charles Santiago, at transcript 435 to 436 testified that Mr. Pica told him not to hurt or harm Mr. Antonelli.

When you look at Application Note 2B,
Section 2A 1.1, Application Note 2B, it provides in part:
"That if a defendant did not cause the death intentionally or knowingly, a downward departure may be warranted. And the extent of this departure should be based on defendant's state of mind, degree of risk inherent in the conduct, and the nature of the underlying offense conduct.

I think that that application note permits your Honor to consider a sentence less than life imprisonment and I think that the facts behind what I have before you warrant your Honor considering it.

Certainly, we know from the trial that Mr. Pica didn't shoot or touch or harm Mr. Antonelli and nothing that anybody in this world can do, can bring this poor man back or, you know, counsel or grieve with his family.

Going one step further on the §3553(a) and that's the history and characteristics of the defendant, I

9 Sentencing will not in open court, obviously, go through the unstable, 1 2 tumultuous chaotic, choose the appropriate adjective, 3 background and childhood and my client had. I think I laid 4 it out. As you can see from the audience, the family 5 6 is here sister, Danielle is here, his little brother Billy, 7 the family and friends are here to show their love and 8 support. 9 But, again, Judge Amon, that this kind of 10 background, as I can put it, chaotic and tumultuous as it 11 was, I think the probation department and Ms. Houlton who 12 was with me when we interviewed Mr. Pica without quoting it 13 exactly so it doesn't become a matter of everybody's 14 talking, his background may be considered a mitigating 15 factor for the purposes of sentencing and that's 16 Paragraph 97 of the presentence report. 17 So, taking together the probation 18 department's statement that it may be considered this awful 19 nightmare as I see it, the background as a mitigating 20 factor, certainly not a defense, and I don't come here, 21 Judge Amon, saying this excuses any kind of unlawful conduct 22 but as a mitigating factor I hope that your Honor will consider it. 23

application note as I mentioned and the possibility of your

So, what we have is both that guideline

24

1 Honor considering a mitigating factor of his background.

2 And we have a 31-year-old man, I believe this is his only

3 | criminal conviction. That's my understanding I know he's in

4 Category I but I believe this is his only criminal

5 conviction.

What strikes me, not just the what sister

Danielle told you and brother Billy told you, is that having
this type of turmoil and uncertainty in his life he was
still able to go to school, get a high school diploma, work
consistently through all these years. They may not have
been glorious jobs, he worked in a deli and did some
plumbing. But he worked, he worked he went to school, and
he and Danielle became a family for Billy, and Billy here
who sits in court is a good human being now having Danielle
and Anthony Pica as his surrogate parents. The grandparents
helped a lot and they all deserve amazing credit for a
really difficult situation.

You do have a first offender who has your Honor to give him a chance to get back to society in his lifetime. He has the education; he has the intelligence. He has the ability, the work ethic to contribute to society and I ask your Honor most respectfully and sincerely, based on everything that I could put together from this family, it's just really a testament to some of these people who have kept, and you know what I find extraordinary, after all

these years in this business, is the love and support that Danielle and Anthony still have for the parents who were going through such a difficult, difficult situation.

You know, I learned in closing, Judge. I learned something from someone I think we both have had contact with and I know Mr. Buretta has had contact. It came out in a case that I had before Chief Judge Dearie some years ago and I've kind of adopted that as a mantra going forward and that is there are two kinds of defendants: One is salvageable and one isn't salvageable.

I submit to your Honor that all that I have attempted to get before this court demonstrates that Anthony Pica is salvageable. And, if given a chance to come out of prison, as I think Grandma DeMarco said I would like to be alive when and if he can ever come out of a federal prison, he will contribute to his family, to society, to the community and not ever find himself in this horrible, horrible spot again.

I ask your Honor to consider not imposing a life sentence and imposing a sentence that gives this man a chance at some life after he has served his time. Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: Before I hear from Mr. Pica, does the Government want to make any comment one way or the other.

MR. BURETTA: Briefly, Judge.

Sentencing

We defer to your Honor's assessment as to an appropriate sentence. We believe that the guideline sentence would be appropriate; a somewhat lower sentence would also be appropriate. The factors that we look to concern, one, that this defendant had committed other crimes as your Honor heard at the trial. He had planned other robberies; he had engaged in narcotics transactions. So, he doesn't come before the Court as a person who hasn't engaged in other crimes.

And, secondly, we think it's important, as alluded to a few minutes ago, when your Honor was asking about the defendant's role in this and your Honor saw from the trial testimony. This defendant had an important choice that day and he made all of the wrong choices purposefully. He chose to get a team and told them to get a gun. He knew they would have a gun that day. He sent them in even though they were getting nervous about what they were about to do; even though he saw there were cameras around and there had been police in the area. And he nonetheless sent these other participants in to this robbery. And that's why we're here.

And we can't bring back Antonelli who had a three-day old baby that day when he died or when he was shot and later died. We can't bring him back and the defendant needs to be held accountable for that. Whether he intended

	Sentencing 13
1	to kill him or not, he certainly created a circumstance that
2	day that easily lent itself to what happened.
3	THE COURT: Mr. Pica, do you want to say anything?
4	THE DEFENDANT: No thank you, your Honor.
5	THE COURT: Pardon me.
6	THE DEFENDANT: I'm sorry.
7	THE COURT: Do you want to say anything before the
8	Court imposes sentence?
9	THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Well, there are a number of
11	factors that the Court has to take into account, one of
12	which, of course, the guideline calculation which would
13	suggest that that a term of imprisonment of life which
14	should be imposed in this case. Now these guidelines are
15	only advisory.
16	The Court has to look to factors under
17	§3553(a) as well and let's just articulate some of those
18	important considerations.
19	One consideration, of course, is the
20	seriousness of the offense and this is an extraordinarily
21	serious offense. It was a robbery in which a man's life was
22	taken. It's difficult to think of a more serious offense
23	before this court than this one.
24	There has to be considerations of promoting
25	respect for the law, and obviously, there has to be a

substantial sentence here in order to promote respect for the law and to provide a deterrence, another important factor, to criminal conduct.

The Court has to look at the history and characteristics of the defendant and the nature and the circumstances of this particular offense.

In looking at the nature and circumstances of this offense, as the Government pointed out, Mr. Pica did have a substantial role in this offense: He recruited the crew and part of that planning included that someone would have a weapon.

I don't believe that in planning this, that it was Mr. Pica's intention that the weapon be used but he clearly understood, in directing that one be taken, that that was a serious and distinct possibility. And, unfortunately, in this case, that possibility came to fruition and Mr. Pica bears responsibility for that.

In looking at the history and characteristics of the defendant himself, the defendant, as counsel points out, did have a difficult upbringing. But obviously, that doesn't excuse the conduct that he engaged in here. He has no prior criminal record but, as the Government points out, he was, as the testimony at the trial revealed, involved in the planning of other robberies. So, this is a case that calls out for a substantial sentence.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Sentencing

Under all of the circumstances of the case, the Court concludes as even the Government implicitly recognizes that a term of life imprisonment in this case is not one that is necessarily required and it's the Court's view that a term of life imprisonment is, in fact, an overly severe sentence for a couple of the reasons. One of which was that it was not Mr. Pica's intention that the gun be used.

And, second of all, I think, two, that one consideration the Court could look at is I think that this crime committed, were he prosecuted in the State for a similar crime, I don't think that he would be facing a mandatory life term.

So, it's the sentence of this Court that on Count One he is sentenced to the maximum 240 months with a three-year term of supervised release;

That he participate in mental health treatment program approved by the probation department;

That he receive concurrent sentences on Counts Two and Four to the same -- with the same amount of, well, Count Four would be I believe is a five-year term of supervised release.

On Count Three, I'm going to sentence him to consecutive 10-year terms for a total prison term of 30 years.

	Sentencing 16
1	The supervised release terms on Counts One
2	and Two are three years. On Counts Three and Four, it's
3	five years.
4	And a special assessment of \$400 on each of
5	the counts.
6	Mr. Pica, you have a right to appeal. Any
7	Notice of Appeal has to be filed within 14 days. Do you
8	understand that.
9	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do, your Honor.
10	THE COURT: Does the Government have anything
11	further?
12	MR. BURETTA: No, your Honor.
13	COURTROOM DEPUTY: Did you say no fine?
14	MR. ROSEN: I don't think there are any open
15	counts.
16	THE COURT: There are no open counts.
17	MR. ROSEN: Maybe the underlying.
18	THE COURT: In light of the financial information,
19	I'm not further imposing a fine.
20	MR. ROSEN: Judge Amon, there may be an underlying
21	indictment that needs to be
22	THE COURT: Also, let me just make clear there are
23	two other conditions of supervised release. One is that he
24	participate in mental health treatment. Another is that he
25	participate in outpatient or inpatient drug treatment as

```
Sentencing
                                                                  17
    recommended by the probation department. Those are
 1
 2
    additional considerations.
 3
               MR. ROSEN:
                           Yes, Judge.
 4
               THE COURT: I don't know that there's an
    underlying indictment.
 5
               MR. BURETTA: I think there is. We move to
 6
 7
    dismiss.
8
               MR. ROSEN: All right.
               MR. BURETTA: Thank you.
9
10
               MR. ROSEN:
                           Thank you.
               (Defendant exits from courtroom.)
11
               (WHEREUPON, the proceedings were adjourned.)
12
13
14
15
16
                        CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
         I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript of
17
    the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
18
19
20
21
     Anthony D. Frisolone, FAPR, RDR, CRR, CRI
22
     Official Court Reporter
23
24
25
```